

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/008,748	12/06/2001		Craig A. Paulsen	406590	6019
27717	7590 06/08/2005			EXAMINER	
SEYFART	H SHAW	I	HOTALING, JOHN M		
55 EAST MG	ONROE S	STREET			
SUITE 4200				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO,	IL 6060	3-5803	3713	:	

DATE MAILED: 06/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ω
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/008,748	PAULSEN ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		John M. Hotaling II	3713
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
THE - External control	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thi vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 No	<u>ovember 2004</u> .	
, —	<i>,</i> —	action is non-final.	
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowar		
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	tion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 60-73 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 60-73 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Applicat	tion Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a confident may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya ion is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date

6) Other:

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Attachment(s)

Application/Control Number: 10/008,748

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 60-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 5,605,506 to Hoorn et al. (Hoorn) and further in view of US Patent No. 6,897,624 to Lys et al (Lys). Hoorn teaches a gaming machine (4:48-49), a user input mechanism (4:49-50), an external visual indicator providing illumination in multiple colors (5: 1-13), the colors are illuminated in a controlled fashion as a result of different events (5:1-13) such as jackpots (Abstract) and requirements for service (5:8-12), the external visual indicator is a cylindrically shaped electronic candle (Fig. 3), the customized illumination pattern is a two-stage candle with different light sources (5:41-43). A processor controlling game input and illumination output is inherent with gaming machines and is supported through the disclosure of the gaming machine maybe any variety of computer (3:60-63). Hoorn does not teach using LEDs or illumination patterns using the LEDs. Instead Horn teaches and provides motivation to find other light sources in column 6:18-24 that regardless of the means by which the top and bottom stages are colored, the particular colors of the top and bottom stages will generally be different and chosen according to a casino operator's preference. In an analogous invention to Lys therein is disclosed an intelligent lighting device that can receive signals Art Unit: 3713

and change the illumination conditions as a result of the received signals. The lighting device can chage hue, saturation, and brightness as a response to received signals. One example of using such a lighting device is to display particular colors as a response to certain events (abstract). Column 6 discloses how the LCD device may be controlled and the transmission of the control. Column 9 discloses that the illumination source may be anything. Column 11 discloses how the combination of red green and blue leds can be controlled to provided different lighting effects. Column 12:20-35 discloses that the lighting device may be any shape, size, shading, material or selected for its light transmitting properties. Column 18 discloses control with PWM. Columns 27-30 disclose how a LCD screen may change color using the control system of the invention by changing the backlighting in accordance with a condition. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hoorn to replace the current illumination display for an inexpensive LED light display using the motivation provided in Hoorn that regardless of the means by which the top and bottom stages are colored, the particular colors of the top and bottom stages will generally be different and chosen according to a casino operator's preference. Additionally, Hoorn teaches that a communication link and interface is already present to connect to the current candle from the gaming machine (Hoorn, Fig. 2) and the above discussed existing user input panel.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 60-73 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Morrision '362 dicloses a lighted display emitting variable colors

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M. Hotaling II whose telephone number is (571) 272 4437. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30-6.

Art Unit: 3713

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Xuan Thai can be reached on (571) 272 3507. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOHNM. HOTALING, U PRIMARY EXAMINER

)xine 3, 2005